

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/237,125

Applicant(s)

Padmanaban et al.

Examiner

Rosemary Ashton

Group Art Unit

1752



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rosemary Ashton

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) K. Banerjee

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 24, 2001Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

DeBargelis

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner agreed to remove the rejection of claim 1 over DeBargelis as the claim reads on a polymer not a monomer, because when o is > than 1 and the other monomer units are 0 the structure of monomer o does not have a double bond and claim 1 defines the formula as a polymer.

The SPIE article to Fahey was considered as noted on PTO-892.Claims 28-31 filed in the amendment of October 31, 2000 where not entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

ROSEMARY E. ASHTON  
PATENT EXAMINER

ROSEMARY ASHTON  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1752

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.